Cross-Cut Analysis of Crediting Models for Carbon/GHG, Water Quality and Water Quantity (2018)

Quantification Model	CAPABILITIES: Type (Empirical, Mechanistic)	CAPABILITIES: Intended Region of Use (Generalized to U.S., Region, State)	CAPABILITIES: Temporal Scale (Daily/Annual)	CAPABILITIES: Spatial Scale (cropping/ag production area), (field/farm, HUC)	CAPABILITIES: OUTPUTS: Carbon (GxG Organic Matter/Carbon Long-term Balance, Carbon Fractions, Volume)	CAPABILITIES: OUTPUTS: Water Quality (Sediment, Phosphorus, Nitrogen, BOD, Pathogens, Salinity, Temperature, Algae, Toxics)	CAPABILITIES: OUTPUTS: Water Quantity (Conserved Water Volume)	CAPABILITIES: OUTPUTS: Soil Health (Y/N)	CAPABILITIES: OUTPUTS: Hydrology: Groundwater Recharge (Y/N)	CAPABILITIES: OUTPUTS: Hydrology: Flood Reduction (Y/N)	CAPABILITIES: OUTPUTS: Hydrology: Streamflow (Y/N)	CAPABILITIES: OUTPUTS: Hydrology: Stream-bank Erosion (Y/N)	CAPABILITIES: AGRICULTURAL PRACTICE SIMULATION: Vegetation Growth (Dynamic Crop and/or Foliage Plants)	CAPABILITIES: AGRICULTURAL PRACTICE SIMULATION: Animal Grazing (Cutting and Grazing Practices, Manure Management)	CAPABILITIES: AGRICULTURAL PRACTICE SIMULATION: Multiple Cropping/Agricultural Production Systems (Y/N)	CAPABILITIES: AGRICULTURAL PRACTICE SIMULATION: Field/Pasture Management BMPs (Y/N)	CAPABILITIES: AGRICULTURAL PRACTICE SIMULATION: Tillage Practices (Y/N)	CAPABILITIES: AGRICULTURAL PRACTICE SIMULATION: Irrigation Practices (Y)	CAPABILITIES: AGRICULTURAL PRACTICE SIMULATION: Flooding Practices (Y)	CAPABILITIES: AGRICULTURAL PRACTICE SIMULATION: Harvest Practices (Y)	INPUT REQUIREMENTS: Input Data Needs	INPUT REQUIREMENTS: Input Data Availability (H/M/L)	INPUT REQUIREMENTS: Expertise Required to Run Model (L/M/H)	MODEL VALIDATION: Expertise Required to Validate Model (L/M/H)	MODEL CALIBRATION: Model Calibration Data Needs	MODEL CALIBRATION: Calibration Data Availability	MODEL CALIBRATION: Level of Effort to Calibrate Model (L/M)	REQUIRED LINKAGES TO ADDITIONAL MODELS OR DATABASES (Y/N; if Yes, name of user-side API's)	PRIOR MARKET APPLICATIONS	ESTIMATE OF UNCERTAINTY IN MODEL OUTPUTS (L/M/H)	MODEL TRANSPARENCY, MODIFIABILITY, AND SUPPORT: Clear Versioning of Model (Y/N)	MODEL TRANSPARENCY, MODIFIABILITY, AND SUPPORT: Peer-Reviewed Documentation (Y/N)	MODEL TRANSPARENCY, MODIFIABILITY, AND SUPPORT: Original Developers	MODEL TRANSPARENCY, MODIFIABILITY, AND SUPPORT: Developer Accessibility (L/M/H)	MODEL TRANSPARENCY, MODIFIABILITY, AND SUPPORT: Developer Responsiveness (H/M/L)	MODEL TRANSPARENCY, MODIFIABILITY, AND SUPPORT: Open Source (Y/N)	MODEL TRANSPARENCY, MODIFIABILITY, AND SUPPORT: Third-Party Add-ons (Y/N)	MODEL TRANSPARENCY, MODIFIABILITY, AND SUPPORT: User Community Activity (L/M/H)	NOTES(*)	Suggested Addition: Tile Drainage (Y/N)?
Carbon/GHG Models																																									
DNDC (DeNitrification-DeComposition)	Mechanistic	Global	Daily	Field, Watershed	Plant Residue (stable, labile and very labile), Microbial Biomass (labile and stable), Humus and Passive Humus (stable and labile); CO2, CH4, N2O, NH3 Fluxes	Nitrogen	Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No	Grass, Crop, Forest	Cutting and Grazing Practices, Manure Management*	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	Low, Moderate	Low, Moderate	Moderate, High	crop yield, N2O emissions, soil C sequestration and soil water	Crop yield and soil water are likely easily available; emission data may be difficult to find	Low, Moderate	No	ACR (Used in-Use CH4 and cropland N2O management projects) CAR (used in-Use protocol); NCGA/Mosaicko (used in carbon insetting framework)	Unknown (Uncertainty analysis can be performed)	Yes	Yes	University of New Hampshire	Low	TBD	Yes	No	Low*	Specific grazing/manure management practices include Rotational Grazing Applications, Livestock Type, Grazing Hours a Day and Stocking Rate, Type of Manure, # Applications, Quantity of Manure/over Time Applied http://www.globalchnrd.net/information/ddnd-ver=5.1.html	
DAYCENT (Daily Century Model)	Mechanistic	North America	Daily	Field, Watershed	Soil Water Content and Temperature by layer, Plant Production (Above and Below Ground), Primary Production (NPP), Decomposition of Litter and Soil Organic Matter, Mineralization of Nutrients, N Gas Emissions (Nitrification-Denitrification, Denitrification, CH4 Oxidation in non-saturated soils, Daily N-Gas Flux, Soil CO2, CH4, N2O, NO Flux from heterotrophic soil respiration	Nitrogen	Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No	Crop, Grass, Forest, Savannah	Grazing, Cultivation, Fire, Organic Matter/Fertilizer Addition	Unknown	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unknown	Yes	Moderate	Low, Moderate	Moderate, High	Moderate, High	Crop Yield, Soil Water Content, Soil Emissions	Crop yield and soil water are likely easily available; emission data may be difficult to find	Moderate, High	No	ACR (Proponents run DayCent for ACOG-5 projects) CAR (used to develop default emission factors for grasslands protocol)*	TBD	Yes	Yes	Colorado State University	Moderate	TBD	Yes	No	TBD	Also used in COMET calculators	
RothC (Rothamsted Carbon Model)	Mechanistic	TBD	Monthly	Field	Soil Carbon in each Pool and CHC, CO2	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Grassland, Woodland	Indirectly	Indirectly	Indirectly	Indirectly	Indirectly	Indirectly	Indirectly	Low	Moderate, High	Low	Low	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	WCI (Proponents run RothC for SALM projects)	No Uncertainty Analysis	TBD	Yes	Rothamsted Research, UK	High	TBD	Yes	TBD	TBD		
PaSIM (Pasture Simulation Model)	Mechanistic	Europe	Hourly	Field	CO2, CH4, N2O	TBD	TBD	TBD	No	No	No	No	Pasture, Grassland	Cutting and Grazing Practices, Manure Management	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	Moderate	Low, Moderate	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	CarboEurope IP, NitroEurope IP, CARBO-Extreme* (European) CLIMATOR, VALIDATE* (French)	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	Research projects aiming at understanding the C and N cycles, carbon sequestration, greenhouse gases emissions (GHG) and the effects of climate variability and climate change.	
AgroC	Mechanistic	TBD	Hourly, Daily	TBD	Soil Organic Carbon Pools, CO2	TBD	TBD	TBD	No	No	No	No	Agricultural Systems	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD			
ECOSSE (Estimation of Carbon in Organic Soils – Sequestration and Emissions)	Mechanistic	TBD	Daily, Monthly	Field, National	Soil Organic Carbon, Soil Greenhouse-Gas Emissions, Inert Organic Matter, Biomass, Resistant Resistant Plant Material, Decomposable Plant Material, CO2, CH4, N2O, N2	TBD	TBD	TBD	No	No	No	No	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	Unknown	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	University of Aberdeen, Scotland, UK	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD		
ORCHIDEE (Organising Carbon and Hydrology in Dynamic Ecosystems)	Mechanistic	Global	Daily	Watershed, Continental	Soil Carbon Dynamics, Litter Decomposition	TBD	TBD	TBD	No	No	No	No	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	LMD, LGE, LGGE	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD			
Water Quality Models																																									
APEX (Agricultural Policy/Environmental eXtender)	Mechanistic & Empirical	U.S.	Daily	Fields, Small Watersheds (HUC14-HUC12)	Soil Organic Carbon Long-Term Nitrogen Carbon from Manure	Sediment Phosphorus Nitrogen	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Commodity Crops and Foliage Plants	Cutting and Grazing Practices, Manure Management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	TBD	Yes	Extensive (Requires minimum effort with NTT's wed interface)	High	Low	High	Local hydrology and water quality data	Dependent on local availability	High	No	Vermont Phosphorus Protocol	Low (if calibrated properly)	Yes	Yes	J.R. Williams, et al., Blackland Research and Extension Center, Texas AgriLife Research, and USDA-ARS, Temple, TX	TBD	TBD	Yes	No	Low	Long-Term Nitrogen modeled using equations from the CENTURY model	Yes
NTT (Nutrient Tracking Tool with APEX)	Mechanistic & Empirical	U.S.	Monthly, Annual	Fields, Small Watersheds (HUC14-HUC12)	None	Sediment Phosphorus Nitrogen	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Commodity Crops and Foliage Plants	Cutting and Grazing Practices	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	TBD	Yes	Extensive (Requires minimum effort with NTT's wed interface)	High	Low	High	Local hydrology, and water quality data	Dependent on local availability	TBD	No	Iowa Nutrient Reduction Exchange (Model currently under consideration)	High (calibration effort ongoing)	No	Yes	J.R. Gakh, et al., Texas Institute for Applied Environmental Research, Tarleton State U., Stephenville, TX	TBD	TBD	No	No	Low	Soil Health and Carbon outputs can be added	Yes
Snap-Plus (WI Soil Nutrient Application Planner)	Empirical*	Wisconsin	Annual	Field	None	Sediment Phosphorus	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Manure Management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	TBD	Yes	Model ate (Requires less effort with program's web interface)	High for WI; Low for other states	Moderate	High	Local sediment and water quality data (calibration not needed for WI)	Dependent on local availability	Moderate (calibration not required for WI)	No	Wisconsin Water Quality Trading Program	Low to Moderate for WI; High for other states	Yes	No	Laura Ward Good, et al., U of WI-Madison	TBD	TBD	TBD	No	High	Underlying models: RUSLE2 and P Index	No
EPA Region 5 Calculator	Empirical	EPA Region 5	Annual	Field	None	Sediment Phosphorus Nitrogen	TBD	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Manure Management	No	Yes	Yes	No	TBD	No	Low	High	Low	High	No Model Calibration Required	No Model Calibration Required	No Model Calibration Required	No	Michigan Trading Rules	High	Yes	No	USEPA through Tetra Tech, Inc.	TBD	TBD	Yes	No	TBD		No
STEPL (Spreadsheet Tool for Estimating Pollutant Load)	Empirical	U.S.	Annual	Field	None	Sediment Phosphorus Nitrogen BOD E. coli	TBD	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Manure Management	No	Yes	Yes	No	TBD	No	Low	High	Low	High	No Model Calibration Required	No Model Calibration Required	No Model Calibration Required	Yes (post-ante-of-use)	Great Miami River, EPRI Ohio River Pilot, Iowa Nutrient Reduction Exchange	High	Yes	No	USEPA through Tetra Tech, Inc.	TBD	TBD	Yes	No	TBD	These three models/tools are fundamentally the same, but have different UIs (i.e., the method and soil erosion (RUSLE) models and GI tool user interface (spreadsheets)	No
Nonpoint Source Nitrogen Calculation Spreadsheet; Nonpoint Source Phosphorus Calculation Spreadsheet (PA)	Empirical	Pennsylvania	Annual	Field	None	Sediment Phosphorus Nitrogen	TBD	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Manure Management	No	Yes	Yes	No	TBD	No	Low	High	Low	High	No Model Calibration Required	No Model Calibration Required	No Model Calibration Required	No	Pennsylvania Nutrient Trading Program	High	No	No	PA Department of Environmental Protection	TBD	TBD	Yes	No	TBD		No
SWAT (Soil Water Assessment Tool)*	Mechanistic & Empirical	U.S.	Daily	Field, Watersheds (HUC8*)	Soil Organic Carbon Long-Term Nitrogen Carbon from Manure	Sediment Phosphorus Nitrogen BOD Pathogens Salinity Temperature Algae	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Commodity Crops and Foliage Plants	Cutting and Grazing Practices, Manure Deposit	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unknown	Yes	Extensive (GIS-based tools, e.g., ArcSWAT interface, QSWAT preparation)	High	High; Moderate with AnnAGNPS modeling system	High	Local/regional hydrology and water quality data	Dependent on local/regional availability	High	No	Trading Feasibility Study in the Great Miami River, OH	Low (if calibrated properly)	Yes	Yes	J. G. Arnold, et al., USDA-ARS Grassland, Soil, and Water Research Lab, Temple, TX	High	High	Yes	Yes	High	SWAT used in water quality feasibility study but not in crediting. Larger watersheds can be modeled by connecting individual simulated HUC8's	Yes
HSPF (Hydrologic Simulation Program-FORTRAN*)	Mechanistic & Empirical	U.S.	Hourly	Fields, Watersheds (HUC8*)	Nitrogen Fluxes	Sediment Phosphorus Nitrogen BOD Pathogens Salinity Temperature Algae Toxins	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Commodity Crops and Foliage Plants	Cutting and Grazing Practices, Manure Deposit, Manure Management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unknown	Yes	Extensive (Tools available for the Chesapeake Bay Watershed; BASINS modeling system available for all U.S.)	High	High	High	Local/regional hydrology and water quality data	Dependent on local/regional availability	High	No	Nutrients in Chesapeake Bay Watershed states (e.g., MA); Bacteria in Big Stone River (SD, MN, IA)	Low (if calibrated properly)	Yes	Yes	T. Donigian, B. Bicknell, et al., Aqua Terra Consultants / BSJWC, ID	Moderate	Moderate	Yes	Yes	Low	HSPF not used in crediting application but could be used in crediting. Larger watersheds (e.g., individual simulated HUC8's) or smaller scale sheds. Additional water quality pollutant reduction outputs can be added as a parameter for users	No
MIKE SHE*	Mechanistic	Global	Sub-Hourly, Hourly*	Fields, Watersheds (HUC8*)	None	None	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes*	Yes*	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Unknown	No	Extensive	Moderate	High	High	Local/regional hydrology data	Dependent on local/regional availability	High	Yes (MIKE Hydro River (steam-hydraulic model) exe-of-use with APIs unclear)	None	Low (if calibrated properly)	Yes	Yes	Danish Hydraulic Institute (private software & engineering firm)	Unknown	Unknown	No (proprietary)	No	Unknown	MIKE-SHE is a hydrologic model with no prior-market application. Spatial scale should be limited only by computing power. Time-step is adaptive. Streamflow and groundwater simulation modelling linked MIke-Hydro River model	No (but probably can be accommodated with some model modification)
WARMF (Watershed Analysis Risk Management Framework*)	Mechanistic & Empirical	U.S.	Minutes, Hourly, Daily	Watersheds (HUC8*)	None	Sediment Phosphorus Nitrogen BOD Pathogens Salinity Temperature Algae Toxins Metals	Yes	No	No	No (?)	Yes	No (?)	No	No	No	No	No	Yes (?)	Unknown	No	Extensive (can use USEPA's BASINS modeling system available for all U.S)	High	High	High	Local/regional hydrology and water quality data	Dependent on local/regional availability	High	Yes. (Requires input for non-point source loads), exe-of-use currently unclear)	EPRI Ohio River Basin Trading Pilot (for nutrient attenuation factor determination)	Low-moderate (if calibrated properly)	Yes	Yes	Systec Water Resources, Inc. (funded by EPRI)	Unknown	Unknown	No (software publicly available; model source code not)	No	Low	WARMF used for calculating nutrient attenuation factor but not crediting. Larger watersheds can be modeled by connecting individual simulated HUC8's	No (but probably can be accommodated with some model modification)
NutrientNet (with APEX)*	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**Model not further assessed due to the model's 1) main functions having been replaced by APEX, 2) limited application to only 4 watersheds in 5 states, and 3) lack of update (last update was probably in 2007) [http://www.nutrientnet.org/]**	N/A
Virginia BMP Enhancement and land Conversion Offsets Calculations Worksheet (based on HSPF)*	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**Model not further assessed as the worksheet reflects the results from a HSPF model (the Chesapeake Bay Model).**	N/A
Water Quantity Models																																									
SWAP (Statewide Agricultural Production Model)	Mechanistic & Empirical	International	Hourly, Daily	Field	Some	Some	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	No	Yes	TBD	Yes	Variable	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	None known	Moderate	Yes	Yes	Wageningen University (Netherlands)	TBD	Unknown	No	No	Low		
IDSCI (Integrated Decision Support Consumptive Use Model)	Mechanistic & Empirical	State (CO)	Daily, Monthly	Field	None	None	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	TBD	TBD	TBD	No	Yes	TBD	Yes	Limited	High	Low	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	CO Water Rights Transfers	Low	Yes	Yes	Colorado State University	TBD	Unknown	No	No	None		
CROPWAT	Mechanistic & Empirical	International	Daily	Field	None	None	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	TBD	TBD	TBD	No	Yes	TBD	No	Limited	High	Low	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	None known	Low	Yes	Yes	FAO	TBD	Unknown	No	No	Low		
Custom Spreadsheet Model	Mechanistic & Empirical	Local	Daily, Monthly	Field	None	None	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	TBD	TBD	TBD	No	Yes	TBD	Yes	Variable	Depends	Low	High	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	Extensive history of Water Transfers	Varies	N/A	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	No	None		

Legend:

Model either: 1) is related to WQT but does not currently have a WQT crediting application OR 2) has a WQT crediting application but was not assessed in this analysis (further explained in the model's notes column)

Model either: 1) is related to WQT but does not currently have a WQT crediting application OR 2) has a WQT crediting application but was not assessed in this analysis (further explained in the model's notes column)

© 2020 Ecosystem Services Market Consortium, LLC
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.
All rights reserved. No part of this report may be reproduced in any manner without the express written consent of ESMC LLC, except in the case of brief excerpts in critical reviews and articles. Address all inquiries to: info@ecosystemservicesmarket.org